

AN ALGEBRAIC CHARACTERIZATION FOR THE CHARACTERISTIC TENSORS OF AN INFINITESIMALLY HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLD

CARLOS ALBERTO MARIN ARANGO

ABSTRACT. Given a Lie subgroup $H \subset \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and H -invariant multilinear maps on $\mathbb{R}^n$ of type curvature, torsion and inner torsion, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an infinitesimally homogeneous affine manifold with H -structure whose characteristic tensors are those given.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold, ∇ be a connection on M , G be a Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and P be a G -structure on M , i.e., a G -principal subbundle of the frame bundle of M . The *inner torsion* of the G -structure P with respect to the given connection ∇ was introduced in [1]. This notion gives rise to a tensor field $\mathfrak{T}^P$ on M , that measures the lack of compatibility of the connection ∇ with P . The triple (M, ∇, P) is an *infinitesimally homogeneous manifold* if the curvature and the torsion tensors of ∇ as well as the inner torsion are constant in G -frames, i.e., are represented by the same tensors $R_0, T_0, \mathfrak{T}_0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$; these tensors are called the *characteristic tensors* of (M, ∇, P) . The central goal of this work is to give necessary and sufficient algebraic conditions for the tensors $T_0, R_0, \mathfrak{T}_0$ for the existence of an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold, whose characteristic tensors are precisely $T_0, R_0, \mathfrak{T}_0$. The main motivation for the study of infinitesimally homogeneous manifolds is that in affine geometry with G -structure they play the same role played by manifolds with constant sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry. In [2], it is proved an immersion theorem into (infinitesimally) homogeneous manifolds, [2] also introduces a language which unifies the statement of several immersion theorems that appear in classical as well as modern literature.

In what follows we outline briefly the content of this paper. In section 3, we assume that (M, ∇, P) is a fixed infinitesimally homogeneous manifold and we obtain a necessary algebraic condition between its characteristic tensors $R_0, T_0, \mathfrak{T}_0$. Since the compatibility of the connection ∇ with P implies that every G -constant tensor on M is parallel, in subsection 3.1, we prove a lemma which allows us to calculate the covariant derivative for G -constant tensors on M when ∇ is not compatible with P . Using this result we obtain an expression for the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor of ∇ , such expression will be used to write the

Date: November 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53A15, 53B05, 53C10, 53C30.

Key words and phrases. Infinitesimally homogeneous manifold, Inner torsion, G -structures.

second Bianchi identity in the next section. In subsection 3.2, we prove that in order to obtain our result it is sufficient to consider the case of symmetric connections. Finally in subsection 3.3, we exhibit an algebraic relation between the characteristic tensors of (M, ∇, P) , more explicitly given an arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{I}_0$, (3.9) gives us an algebraic relation between R_0 and $\mathfrak{I}_0$ which does not depend on the choice of λ . In section 4, we assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a fixed real finite-dimensional vector space, $H \subset GL(\mathfrak{m})$ is a fixed Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ and $R_0 \in \text{Lin}_2(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{h}$ are fixed H -invariant tensors. Assuming also that the algebraic relation (3.9) is valid for some arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{I}_0$, R_0 is skew-symmetric and satisfies the two Bianchi identities, we construct an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold (M, ∇, P) whose characteristic tensors are $R_0, \mathfrak{I}_0$. More explicitly, we endow the vector space $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with a bracket operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Under the conditions above we show that $(\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra. Then M is defined as a section through the identity element of an arbitrary Lie group A with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$, such that for each $x \in M$, $\bar{\kappa}_x : T_x M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is a linear isomorphism, where $\bar{\kappa}$ denotes the restriction to M of the left invariant 1-form on A induced by the linear projection $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$. On this way, we obtain a global $\mathfrak{m}$ -frame $s : M \rightarrow \text{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$. Then for each $x \in M$, P_x is the H -structure on $T_x M$ given by $s(x) \cdot H$ and ∇ is the linear connection on M associated with the connection form on $\text{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$ induced by λ by using the global frame s .

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Vector spaces. Let V be a real finite-dimensional vector space. $GL(V)$ denotes the general linear group of V and $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ denotes its Lie algebra. If W is another real finite-dimensional vector space, $\text{Lin}_k(V; W)$ denotes the space of k -linear maps from V to W . Given multilinear maps $T \in \text{Lin}_k(V; V)$ and $S \in \text{Lin}_k(W; W)$ and a (not necessarily invertible) linear map $\sigma : V \rightarrow W$ then T is said to be σ -related with S if:

$$S(\sigma(v_1), \dots, \sigma(v_k)) = \sigma(T(v_1, \dots, v_k)),$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$. If $p : V \rightarrow W$ is a linear isomorphism we denote by $\mathcal{I}_p : GL(V) \rightarrow GL(W)$ the Lie group isomorphism given by conjugation with p and $\text{Ad}_p = d\mathcal{I}_p(\text{Id}) : \mathfrak{gl}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$ denotes the Lie algebra isomorphism given by the differential of $\mathcal{I}_p$ at the identity element of $GL(V)$.

2.2. G-structures on manifolds. If G is a Lie subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by a G -structure on an n -dimensional real vector space V we mean an G -orbit of the action given by right composition of $GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the set of all linear isomorphisms $p : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow V$. By a G -structure on an n -dimensional differentiable manifold M we mean a G -principal subbundle P of $\text{FR}(TM)$, such that for each $x \in M$, P_x is a G -structure on the vector space $T_x M$. Let M and M' be n -dimensional differentiable manifolds endowed with G -structures P and P' , respectively. A smooth map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ is said to be G -structure preserving if for each $x \in M$, the map $df_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_{f(x)} M'$ sends frames of P_x to frames that belong to $P'_{f(x)}$.

Remark 1. If G is a Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ a multilinear map $\tau_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_k(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to be G -invariant, if for each $g \in G$, τ_0 is g -related with itself. If V is a real finite-dimensional vector space endowed with a G -structure P and if $\tau_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_k(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is G -invariant then the tensor $\tau_V \in \mathrm{Lin}_k(V; V)$ which is p -related with τ_0 for some $p \in P$ (consequently for all) is named the *version* of τ_0 on V . The G -invariance of τ_0 implies that τ_V does not depend on the choice of $p \in P$. In particular, when M is an n -dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with a G -structure P and $\tau_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_k(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is G -invariant, we get a tensor field τ on M such that for each $x \in M$, $\tau_x \in \mathrm{Lin}_k(T_x M; T_x M)$ is the version of τ_0 in $T_x M$.

2.3. Connections on vector bundles. Let E be a vector bundle over a differentiable manifold M with typical fiber E_0 . We denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the set of all smooth sections of E and by $\mathrm{FR}_{E_0}(E)$ the $\mathrm{GL}(E_0)$ -principal bundle over M formed by all E_0 -frames of E . When $E_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$ we write $\mathrm{FR}(E)$ instead of $\mathrm{FR}_{E_0}(E)$. If $\epsilon : U \rightarrow E$ is a local section of the vector bundle E and $s : U \rightarrow \mathrm{FR}_{E_0}(E)$ is a smooth local frame for E then the *representation* of the section ϵ with respect to the smooth local frame s is a map $\tilde{\epsilon} : U \rightarrow E_0$ defined by: $\tilde{\epsilon}(x) = s(x)^{-1}(\epsilon(x))$, for all $x \in U$.

Remark 2. If ∇ is a (symmetric) connection on TM and $\mathfrak{t} : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM)$ is an arbitrary $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear (symmetric) map, $\nabla' = \nabla + \mathfrak{t}$ is also a (symmetric) connection on TM . A direct computation shows that:

(2.1)

$$R'(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X \mathfrak{t})(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \mathfrak{t})(X, Z) + [\mathfrak{t}(X), \mathfrak{t}(Y)]Z$$

(2.2) $T'(X, Y) = T(X, Y) + \mathfrak{t}(X)Y - \mathfrak{t}(Y)X,$

for each $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Here T' and R' denote the torsion and curvature tensors of ∇' respectively, T and R denote the torsion and curvature tensors of ∇ , respectively.

A smooth local frame $s : U \rightarrow \mathrm{FR}_{E_0}(E)$ defines in a natural way a connection $\mathrm{d}\Gamma^s$ in $E|_U$, which corresponds via the trivialization of $E|_U$ defined by s to the standard derivative. More explicitly, we set:

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma_v^s \epsilon = s(x)(\mathrm{d}\tilde{\epsilon}_x(v)),$$

for all $x \in U$, $v \in T_x M$, $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E|_U)$, where $\tilde{\epsilon} : U \rightarrow E_0$ denotes the representation of ϵ with respect to the local frame s . If ∇ is a connection in E , the *Christoffel tensor* of ∇ with respect to the smooth local frame s is the smooth tensor $\Gamma = \nabla - \mathrm{d}\Gamma^s \in \Gamma(TM^* \otimes E^* \otimes E)$ such that:

$$\nabla_v \epsilon = \mathrm{d}\Gamma_v^s \epsilon + \Gamma_x(v, \epsilon(x)),$$

for all $x \in U$, $v \in T_x M$ and all $\epsilon \in \Gamma(E|_U)$. If ω denotes the smooth $\mathfrak{gl}(E_0)$ -valued connection form on $\mathrm{FR}_{E_0}(E)$ associated to ∇ , then we have the following equality:

(2.3) $\Gamma_x(v) = s(x) \circ \bar{\omega}_x(v) \circ s(x)^{-1} \in \mathfrak{gl}(E_x),$

for all $x \in U$ and all $v \in T_x M$, where $\bar{\omega} = s^* \omega$.

3. INFINITESIMALLY HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

Let (M, ∇) be an n -dimensional affine manifold, $G \subset GL(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a Lie subgroup and assume that M is endowed with a G -structure $P \subset FR(TM)$. The triple (M, ∇, P) is called *affine manifold with G -structure*.

Let (M, ∇, P) be an n -dimensional affine manifold with G -structure, the inner torsion of P with respect to the connection ∇ was introduced in [1], this notion gives rise to a tensor field $\mathfrak{I}^P$ on M that measures how much the connection ∇ is not compatible with P , since this notion play an important roll in this work, in the following paragraph, we show in a brief way its definition.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Lie algebra of G . Given $x \in M$, G_x denotes the Lie subgroup of $GL(T_x M)$ consisting of G -structures preserving maps and $\mathfrak{g}_x$ denotes its Lie algebra, so that $\text{Ad}_p : \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)$ carries $\mathfrak{g}$ onto $\mathfrak{g}_x$; therefore, it induces an isomorphism:

$$\overline{\text{Ad}}_p : \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)/\mathfrak{g}_x.$$

Let $s : U \subset M \rightarrow P$ be a smooth local section of P , $x \in U$ and set $s(x) = p$. If ω denotes the connection form associated with ∇ and $\overline{\omega} = s^*\omega$. The map

$$(3.1) \quad T_x M \xrightarrow{\overline{\omega}_x} \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{n}) \xrightarrow{q} \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{n})/\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{Ad}}_p} \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)/\mathfrak{g}_x$$

$\mathfrak{I}_x^P$

does not depend on the choice of the local section s . The linear map $\mathfrak{I}_x^P : T_x M \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)/\mathfrak{g}_x$ defined by (3.1) is called the *inner torsion* of the G -structure P at the point x with respect to the connection ∇ . From (2.3), we conclude that the inner torsion $\mathfrak{I}_x^P$ of the G -structure P at the point x is also given by the composition of the Christoffel tensor $\Gamma_x : T_x M \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)$ of the connection ∇ with respect to s and the quotient map $\mathfrak{gl}(T_x M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)/\mathfrak{g}_x$.

Definition 3.1. An n -dimensional affine manifold with G -structure, (M, ∇, P) is infinitesimally homogeneous if there exists multilinear maps $R_0 \in \text{Lin}_3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $T_0 \in \text{Lin}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a linear map $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathfrak{g}$ such that: for every $x \in M$, every $p \in P_x$ relates T_0 with T_x , R_0 with R_x and $\overline{\text{Ad}}_p \circ \mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathfrak{I}_x^P \circ p$.

The multilinear maps T_0 , R_0 , $\mathfrak{I}_0$ as refered above are called the *characteristic tensors* of the infinitesimally homogeneous manifold (M, ∇, P) . Throughout this section we will consider a fixed n -dimensional infinitesimally homogeneous manifold (M, ∇, P) with structural group G and characteristic tensors T_0 , R_0 , $\mathfrak{I}_0$. Initially we note that the tensors T_0 , R_0 , $\mathfrak{I}_0$ are G -invariants, then if $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an arbitrary lifting of $\mathfrak{I}_0$. From the G -invariance of the characteristic tensors we obtain the following relations:

$$(3.2) \quad R_0(u, v) = \text{Ad}_g \cdot R_0(g^{-1} \cdot u, g^{-1} \cdot v);$$

$$(3.3) \quad T_0(u, v) = g \cdot T_0(g^{-1} \cdot u, g^{-1} \cdot v);$$

$$(3.4) \quad \text{Ad}_g(\lambda(g^{-1} \cdot u)) - \lambda(u) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

for each $g \in G$, each $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If ϱ is another arbitrary lifting of $\mathfrak{I}_0$, then $\lambda = \varrho + \delta$ where δ is a $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued linear map defined in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given $g \in G$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have:

$$\mathfrak{g} \ni \text{Ad}_g(\lambda(g^{-1} \cdot u)) - \lambda(u) = \text{Ad}_g(\varrho(g^{-1} \cdot u)) - \varrho(u) + \underbrace{\text{Ad}_g(\delta(g^{-1} \cdot u)) - \delta(u)}_{\in \mathfrak{g}},$$

which shows that (3.4) does not depend on the choice of the lifting of $\mathfrak{I}_0$. In particular, if $s : U \subset M \rightarrow P$ is a smooth local section of P

$$\text{Ad}_g(\tilde{\Gamma}(g^{-1} \cdot u)) - \tilde{\Gamma}(u) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denotes the representation of the Christoffel tensor Γ of the connection ∇ with respect to s . By differentiating equalities (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) with respect to g at the identity of G , in the direction of $L \in \mathfrak{g}$ we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be an arbitray lifting of $\mathfrak{I}_0$. Then for all $L \in \mathfrak{g}$ and all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the following conditions hold:*

- $[L, R_0(u, v)] - R_0(L \cdot u, v) - R_0(u, L \cdot v) = 0;$
- $L \circ T_0(u, v) - T_0(L \cdot u, v) - T_0(u, L \cdot v) = 0;$
- $[L, \lambda(u)] - \lambda(L \cdot u) \in \mathfrak{g}.$

3.1. The differential of G-constant tensors. Let (M, ∇, P) be an n -dimensional affine manifold with G -structure. When ∇ is compatible with P , i.e., if $\mathfrak{I}^P = 0$ it is clear that every tensor that is constat in frames that belong to P is parallel with respect to ∇ , the goal of this subsection is to show a simple way to calculate the covariant derivative for a tensor constant in G -frames when ∇ is not compatible with P .

Denoting by $\underline{\mathfrak{Vec}}$ the category whose objects are real finite-dimensional vector spaces and whose morphisms are linear isomorphisms. Given a smooth functor $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} : \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}}$ and any object V of $\underline{\mathfrak{Vec}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$ induces a Lie group homomorphism $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} : \text{GL}(V) \rightarrow \text{GL}(\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(V))$, and its differential at the identity is a Lie algebra homomorphism that will be denoted by $\underline{\mathfrak{f}} : \mathfrak{gl}(V) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(V))$.

Let E be a vector bundle with typical fiber E_0 over M . Given a smooth functor $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} : \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}}$ we denote by $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(E) = \bigcup_{x \in M} \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(E_x)$, the vector bundle with typical fiber $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(E_0)$ obtained from E by using $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Given a smooth funtor $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} : \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{Vec}}$ we have the following:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{t}$ be a smooth G -constant section of $\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(TM)$. Then*

$$\nabla_v \mathfrak{t} = \underline{\mathfrak{f}}(L) \cdot \mathfrak{t}_x,$$

for all $x \in M$, $v \in T_x M$, where $L \in \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)$ is such that $\mathfrak{I}_x^P(v) = L + \mathfrak{g}_x$.

PROOF. Clearly $\mathfrak{t}$ can be thought of as an $\text{FR}(TM)$ -valued 0-form on M , which is associated to a 0-form $\phi : \text{FR}(TM) \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that: $\phi(p) = \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(p)^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}_x)$ for all $x \in M$, $p \in \text{FR}(TM)$. Moreover the covariant exterior differential $D\phi$ is associated to the covariant exterior differential $D\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{t}$, see [1]. More explicitly, we have:

$$(3.5) \quad d\phi_p(\zeta) = D\phi_p(\zeta) = \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(p)^{-1}(D\mathfrak{t})_x \cdot v = \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(p)^{-1} \nabla_v \mathfrak{t},$$

for all $x \in M$, $p \in P_x$, $v \in T_x M$ and ζ a horizontal vector such that $d\Pi_p(\zeta) = v$, where $\Pi : \text{FR}(TM) \rightarrow M$ denotes the canonical projection. To obtain the desired result, we must calculate $d\phi_p(\zeta)$. If $X \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $\overline{\text{Ad}}_p(X + \mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{J}_x^P(v)$ then

$$\zeta = (d\Pi_p, \omega_p)^{-1}(v, X) - (d\Pi_p, \omega_p)^{-1}(0, X) = \underbrace{(d\Pi_p, \omega_p)^{-1}(v, X)}_{\in T_p P} - d\beta_p(1) \cdot X,$$

where β_p denotes the map given by the action of $\text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on p . Since $\phi|_P$ is constant, we have:

$$(3.6) \quad d\phi_p(\zeta) = -d\phi_p(d\beta_p(1) \cdot X) = \underline{f}(X) \cdot \mathfrak{t}_0.$$

Finally, since $\mathfrak{t}$ is G -constant by using (3.5) and (3.6) we obtain:

$$\nabla_v \mathfrak{t} = \underline{\mathfrak{F}}(p)(d\phi_p(\zeta)) = \underline{f}(L) \cdot \mathfrak{t}_x$$

where $L + \mathfrak{g}_x = \mathfrak{J}_x^P(v)$. □

3.4. Example. Let $\underline{\mathfrak{F}} : \underline{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{C}}$ be the functor defined by:

$$\underline{\mathfrak{F}}(V) = \text{Lin}_k(V; \text{Lin}(V))$$

for each object V of $\underline{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{E}\mathfrak{C}}$. Let (M, ∇, P) be an n -dimensional affine manifold with G -structure. If $\mathfrak{t}_0 \in \text{Lin}_k(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is a G -constant tensor, denoting by $\mathfrak{t}_x$ the induced version of $\mathfrak{t}_0$ on $T_x M$, by using (3.3) we have:

$$\nabla_v \mathfrak{t} = [L, \mathfrak{t}_x(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)] - \mathfrak{t}_x(L, \cdot, \dots, \cdot) - \dots - \mathfrak{t}_x(\cdot, \cdot, \dots, L),$$

where $L \in \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)$ is such that $\mathfrak{J}_x^P(v) = L + \mathfrak{g}_x$. On the other hand, given $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{J}_0$, $\lambda(X)$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^n$, which induces a derivation $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)}$ on the tensor algebra over the vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is clear that:

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{t}_0) = \underline{f}(\lambda(X)) \cdot \mathfrak{t}_0$$

Therefore, if λ is an arbitrary lifting of $\mathfrak{J}_0$, given $x \in M$, $p \in P_x$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $v = p(X)$ and $\text{Ad}_p(\lambda(X)) = L$ we have:

$$\text{Ad}_p(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)} \mathfrak{t}_0) = (\nabla_v \mathfrak{t}) \circ (p, \dots, p).$$

3.2. Infinitesimally homogeneous manifold without torsion. Our goal will be now to show that for our existence result, it will suffice to consider the case of symmetric connections. Let $\mathfrak{t}_0 \in \text{Lin}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a G -invariant skew-symmetric tensor. For each $x \in M$, we denote by $\mathfrak{t}_x$ the induced version of $\mathfrak{t}_0$ on $T_x M$. From remark 2 we know that $\nabla' = \nabla + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{t}$ defines a connection on TM whose torsion is $\mathfrak{t}$.

Lemma 3.5. *If (M, ∇, P) is an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold then the triple (M, ∇', P) is also infinitesimally homogeneous.*

PROOF. Notice that it is enough to show tensors $T'_0, R'_0, \mathfrak{J}'_0$ satisfying equalities in 3.1. Clearly $T'_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{t}$ can be identified with a smooth $\text{Lin}(TM)$ -valued covariant 1-tensor field on M . Let $s : U \rightarrow P$ be a smooth local section of P . Denoting by Γ', Γ the Christoffel tensors of ∇', ∇ with respect to s , given

$x \in U$ it is clear that $\Gamma'_x = \Gamma_x + \mathbf{t}_x$, composing with the canonical projection $\mathfrak{gl}(T_x M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(T_x M)/\mathfrak{g}_x$ we get $\mathcal{J}'_x = \mathcal{J}_x^P + \mathbf{q} \circ \mathbf{t}_x$. Therefore it is enough to define $\mathcal{J}'_0 = \mathcal{J}_0 + \mathbf{q} \circ \mathbf{t}_0$. Denoting by R', R the curvature tensors of ∇' and ∇ respectively, follows from equality (2.1) using the result obtained in subsection 3.1 that given an arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathcal{J}_0$, $x \in U$ with $s(x) = p$, the equality below holds:

$$\begin{aligned} R'_x(p, p) &= R_x(p, p) + (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{t})_x(p, p) + [\mathbf{t}_x(p), \mathbf{t}_x(p)] \\ &= \text{Ad}_p \circ (R_0(\cdot, \cdot) + \text{Alt}(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\cdot)} \mathbf{t}_0) \cdot + [\mathbf{t}_0(\cdot), \mathbf{t}_0(\cdot)]). \end{aligned}$$

Where $\text{Alt}(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\cdot)} \mathbf{t}_0) \cdot$ denotes the alternator of $(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\cdot)} \mathbf{t}_0) \cdot$. Therefore, in order to get the desired result it is enough to define $R'_0 = R_0 + \mathcal{D}\mathbf{t}_0 + [\mathbf{t}_0, \mathbf{t}_0]$. $\square$

3.3. Algebraic relation between the characteristic tensors. From the result obtained in 3.2 we can suppose without loss of generality that ∇ is symmetric. Let ω be the connection form on $\text{FR}(TM)$ associated with ∇ , let Ω be its curvature form and let θ be the canonical form of $\text{FR}(TM)$. Given a local smooth section $s : U \rightarrow P$, we set $\bar{\omega} = s^*(\omega)$, $\bar{\Omega} = s^*\Omega$, $\bar{\theta} = s^*\theta$. Since ∇ is symmetric, we know that $d\bar{\theta} = -\bar{\omega} \wedge \bar{\theta}$. From the definition of inner torsion we have:

$$\bar{\text{Ad}}_p \circ \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x = \mathcal{J}_x^P = \bar{\text{Ad}}_p \circ \mathcal{J}_0 \circ p^{-1} = \mathcal{J}_x^P = \bar{\text{Ad}}_p \circ \mathcal{J}_0 \circ \bar{\theta}_x.$$

Under the conditions above we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\Omega}_x &= d(\mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x) + \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\omega}_x \\ &= d(\mathcal{J}_0 \circ \bar{\theta}_x) + \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\omega}_x \\ &= \mathcal{J}_0 \circ d\bar{\theta}_x + \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\omega}_x \\ (3.7) \quad &= -\mathcal{J}_0 \circ (\bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\theta}_x) + \mathbf{q} \circ \bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\omega}_x. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tilde{\Gamma} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the representation of the Christoffel tensor of ∇ with respect to s , since $\mathcal{J}_0 = \mathbf{q} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}$, substituting in (3.7) we obtain the following relation:

$$\mathbf{q} \circ (\bar{\Omega}_x + \tilde{\Gamma} \circ (\bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\theta}_x) - \bar{\omega}_x \wedge \bar{\omega}_x) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus, given vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and denoting denoting by $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM|_U)$ the vector fields whose representation relatively to the local section s are the constant u and v respectively, the equation above can be written as:

$$(3.8) \quad R_0(u, v) - [\tilde{\Gamma}(u), \tilde{\Gamma}(v)] + \tilde{\Gamma}(\tilde{\Gamma}(u)v - \tilde{\Gamma}(v)u) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This relation does not depend on the choice of the lifting of $\mathcal{J}_0$. Namely, let λ be an arbitrary lifting of $\mathcal{J}_0$ and δ be a $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued linear map in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\tilde{\Gamma} = \lambda + \delta$. By computing we obtain:

$$(3.9) \quad \mathfrak{g} \ni R_0(u, v) - [\lambda(u), \lambda(v)] + \lambda(\lambda(u)v - \lambda(v)u) + \mathcal{A}(\delta) + \mathcal{B}(\delta),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\delta) &= ([\delta(v), \lambda(u)] - \lambda(\delta(v) \cdot u)) - ([\delta(u), \lambda(v)] - \lambda(\delta(u) \cdot v)), \\ \mathcal{B}(\delta) &= \delta(\tilde{\Gamma}(u)v - \tilde{\Gamma}(v)u) - [\delta(u), \delta(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 we know that $\mathcal{A}(\delta) \in \mathfrak{g}$, moreover, since δ is $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued, it is clear that $\mathcal{B}(\delta) \in \mathfrak{g}$, therefore for an arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{I}_0$ the following relation holds:

$$R_0(u, v) - [\lambda(u), \lambda(v)] + \lambda(\lambda(u)v - \lambda(v)u) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

this shows our assertion.

Theorem 3.6. *Let (M, ∇, P) be an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold with ∇ symmetric and characteristic tensors $R_0, \mathfrak{I}_0$. Given an arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{I}_0$, the following relation hold:*

$$R_0(u, v) - [\lambda(u), \lambda(v)] + \lambda(\lambda(u)v - \lambda(v)u) \in \mathfrak{g},$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4. THE INVERSE PROBLEM

Throughout this section we will assume fixed a real finite-dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{m}$ and a Lie subgroup $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$. Let $R_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_2(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{h}$ be H -invariants maps and let $\lambda : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ be an arbitrary lifting of $\mathfrak{I}_0$. Using the property of H -invariance as in Section 3 we obtain the following relation which does not depend on the choice of λ :

$$(4.1) \quad [L, \lambda(X)] - \lambda(L \cdot X) \in \mathfrak{h},$$

for all $L \in \mathfrak{h}$ and all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. An analogous relation for (3.8) in this case is the following:

$$(4.2) \quad R_0(X, Y) - [\lambda(X), \lambda(Y)] + \lambda(\lambda(X)Y - \lambda(Y)X) \in \mathfrak{h}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. (4.2) also does not depend on the choice of λ . For $X \in \mathfrak{m}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)}$ the derivation on the tensor algebra over the vector space $\mathfrak{m}$ induced by $\lambda(X)$. Thus, since R_0 is a tensor defined on $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)}R_0$ is also an element that belongs to this algebra and we have:

$$(4.3) \quad (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)}R_0)(Y, Z) = [\lambda(X), R_0(Y, Z)] - R_0(\lambda(X) \cdot Y, Z) - R_0(Y, \lambda(X) \cdot Z),$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Assuming that (4.2) holds, we have the following:

Definition 4.1. Under the conditios above, setting $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$. We endow $\mathfrak{a}$ with a bracket operation which is defined below. For each $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$, each $L, T \in \mathfrak{h}$ we put:

- (1) $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}[X, Y] = \lambda(X) \cdot Y - \lambda(Y) \cdot X$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}[L, X] = L \cdot X$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}[X, Y] = R_0(X, Y) + \lambda(\lambda(X) \cdot Y - \lambda(Y) \cdot X) - [\lambda(X), \lambda(Y)]$;
- (4) $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}[L, X] = [L, \lambda(X)] - \lambda(L \cdot X)$;
- (5) $[L, X] = -[X, L]$;
- (6) $[L, T]$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{h}$.

Given an arbitrary k -tensor T on $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{S}T(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ denotes the sum over the cyclic permutations of $X_1, \dots, X_k$.

Definition 4.2. We say that R_0 satisfies the *Bianchi identities* if the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (B_1) \quad & \mathfrak{S} R_0(X, Y) \cdot Z = 0; \\ (B_2) \quad & \mathfrak{S} (\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(X)} R_0)(Y, Z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a real finite-dimensional vector space, let $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ be a Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$. Tensors $R_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_2(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{h}$, are said to be *characteristics with group H* if the following conditions hold:

- R_0 and $\mathfrak{I}_0$ are H -invariants;
- R_0 is skew-symmetric;
- given an arbitrary lifting $\lambda : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ of $\mathfrak{I}_0$, R_0 satisfies the Bianchi identities and (4.2) holds.

Lemma 4.4. Under conditions above, suppose that $R_0, \mathfrak{I}_0$ are characteristic tensors with group H . Then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with the bracket operation defined in (4.1), is a Lie algebra.

Remark 3. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$, we denote by $[X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}$ (resp., $[X, Y]^{\mathfrak{h}}$) the $\mathfrak{m}$ -component (resp., $\mathfrak{h}$ -component) of $[X, Y]$ in $\mathfrak{a}$. Moreover, for $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $L \in \mathfrak{h}$ we agree in use the next notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{[L, X, Y]} &= [L, \lambda(X)] \cdot Y - \lambda(Y) \cdot (L \cdot X). \\ \mathcal{T}_{[X, Y, Z]} &= [\lambda(X), \lambda(Y)] \cdot Z - \lambda(Z) \cdot [X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it is not difficult to see that:

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{S}_{[L, X, Y]} - \mathcal{S}_{[L, Y, X]} = L([X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

And also an easy computing show that:

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{S} \mathcal{T}_{[X, Y, Z]} = 0.$$

Remark 4. For $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ by using the Bianchi identities we obtain:

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{S} \left([\lambda(Z), R_0(X, Y)] - R_0([X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}, Z) \right) = 0.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.4. Since $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is skew-symmetric, it is enough to see the Jacobi identity. Namely, we divide the proof in three cases. For the initial case we take $L, T \in \mathfrak{h}$, $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. Follows from relations on definition 4.1 that:

$$(4.7) \quad [[X, L], T] = -[[L, \lambda(X)], T] - \lambda(T(L \cdot X)) + T(L \cdot X).$$

Replacing T by L and using the skew-symmetrie of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ results:

$$(4.8) \quad [[T, X], L] = [[T, \lambda(X)], L] + \lambda(L(T \cdot X)) - L(T \cdot X).$$

On the other hand, the definition of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ implies:

$$(4.9) \quad [[L, T], X] = [[L, T], \lambda(X)] - \lambda([L, T] \cdot X) + [L, T] \cdot X.$$

By adding (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) together with the Jacobi identity in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ the assertion follows for this case. For the second case we take $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}, L \in \mathfrak{h}$. So that:

$$(4.10) \quad [[X, Y], L]^{\mathfrak{m}} = -L([X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}})$$

$$(4.11) \quad [[X, Y], L]^{\mathfrak{h}} = [[\lambda(X), \lambda(Y)], L] + \lambda(L \cdot [X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}) - [R_0(X, Y), L].$$

Using remark 3 and definition of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ we obtain:

$$(4.12) \quad [[Y, L], X]^{\mathfrak{m}} = -\mathcal{S}_{[L, Y, X]}.$$

$$(4.13) \quad [[Y, L], X]^{\mathfrak{h}} = [[\lambda(Y), L], \lambda(X)] + \lambda(\mathcal{S}_{[L, Y, X]}) - R_0(X, L \cdot Y).$$

Replacing X by Y in (4.12), (4.13) we get:

$$(4.14) \quad [[L, X], Y]^{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{S}_{[L, X, Y]}.$$

$$(4.15) \quad [[L, X], Y]^{\mathfrak{h}} = [[L, \lambda(X)], \lambda(Y)] - \lambda(\mathcal{S}_{[L, X, Y]}) + R_0(Y, L \cdot X).$$

By adding (4.10), (4.12), (4.14) and using (4.4) we have:

$$\mathfrak{S}[[X, Y], L]^{\mathfrak{m}} = 0.$$

Follows from (4.11), (4.13), (4.15) using (4.3), (4.4) together with the Jacobi identity in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ that:

$$\mathfrak{S}[[X, Y], L]^{\mathfrak{h}} = 0.$$

Finally for the third case we take $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. Definition of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ implies that:

$$\mathfrak{S}[[X, Y], Z]^{\mathfrak{m}} = 0$$

For the $\mathfrak{h}$ component we have:

$$\begin{aligned} [[X, Y], Z]^{\mathfrak{h}} &= [[\lambda(X), \lambda(Y)], \lambda(Z)] - R_0([X, Y]^{\mathfrak{m}}, Z) \\ &\quad - [R_0(X, Y), \lambda(Z)] - \lambda(\mathcal{T}_{[X, Y, Z]} - R_0(X, Y)Z). \end{aligned}$$

Follows from (4.5), (4.6) and Jacobi identity in $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ that:

$$\mathfrak{S}[[X, Y], Z]^{\mathfrak{h}} = 0.$$

□

If $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda}$ denotes the Lie Bracket in $\mathfrak{a}$ obtained by using the arbitrary lifting λ of $\mathfrak{J}_0$, given another lifting $\tilde{\lambda}$ there exists a linear map $\delta : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda} + \delta$. The map between vector spaces $\varphi : \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ defined by the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} & \delta \\ 0 & \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{m}} \end{pmatrix},$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces, moreover it is easy to see that $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\lambda} = \varphi^*[\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ so that φ is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.

4.1. Existence of an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a real finite-dimensional vector space, let $H \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ be a Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$. Let $R_0 \in \mathrm{Lin}_2(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{h}$, be characteristic tensors with group H . Using Lemma 4.4 we have that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ endowed with the bracket defined by the relations in Lemma 4.1 is a Lie algebra. Our goal is show the existence of an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold whose characteristic tensors are R_0 and $\mathfrak{I}_0$.

Let $\bar{\lambda} : \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ be an extension of λ defined by:

$$(4.16) \quad \bar{\lambda}(X) = \begin{cases} \lambda(X), & \text{se } X \in \mathfrak{m}, \\ \overline{\mathrm{ad}}_X, & \text{se } X \in \mathfrak{h}, \end{cases}$$

for each $X \in \mathfrak{a}$, where $\overline{\mathrm{ad}}$ denotes the isotropic representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$, more precisely $\overline{\mathrm{ad}}_X(Y) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}([X, Y]) = X(Y)$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 4.5. *If $L \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathfrak{a}$. Then*

$$[\bar{\lambda}(L), \bar{\lambda}(\mathfrak{X})] = \bar{\lambda}([L, \mathfrak{X}]).$$

PROOF. We set $\mathfrak{X} = T + X$ with $T \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\lambda}([L, \mathfrak{X}]) &= \overline{\mathrm{ad}}_{[L, T]} + \overline{\mathrm{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}([L, X])} + \lambda(L \cdot X) \\ &= [\overline{\mathrm{ad}}_L, \overline{\mathrm{ad}}_T] + [\overline{\mathrm{ad}}_L, \lambda(X)] \\ &= [\bar{\lambda}(L), \bar{\lambda}(\mathfrak{X})]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let A be a Lie group such that $T_1 A = \mathfrak{a}$. Let $M' \subset A$ be a submanifold of A through 1 such that $T_1 M' = \mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^L$ be the left invariant 1-form on A induced by the linear projection $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$. Denoting by $\bar{\kappa} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^L|_{M'}$, it is clear that for $X \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$\bar{\kappa}_1(X) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}^L(X) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(X) = X.$$

Therefore, reducing M' to an open set M with $1 \in M$, the map $\bar{\kappa}_x : T_x M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is a linear isomorphism for all $x \in M$. Then, the map $s : M \rightarrow \mathrm{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$ defined by $s(x) = \bar{\kappa}_x^{-1} : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow T_x M$, for all $x \in M$ give us a global section of the $\mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ -principal bundle $\mathrm{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$ over M . Given $x \in M$, the set

$$P_x = s(x) \cdot H = \{s(x) \circ h : h \in H\},$$

is an H -structure on $T_x M$, and $P = \bigcup_{x \in M} P_x$ defines an H -structure on M .

On the other hand, let $\bar{\lambda}^L$ the left invariant 1-form on A induced by the linear map $\bar{\lambda}$ defined in (4.16). Setting $\bar{\omega} = \bar{\lambda}^L|_M$, it is clear that $\bar{\omega}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ -valued smooth 1-form on M . Let ω be the unique $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ -valued 1-form on $\mathrm{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$ such that $s^* \omega = \bar{\omega}$. Then ω is a connection form on $\mathrm{FR}_{\mathfrak{m}}(TM)$. Summarising we have an affine manifold with H -structure (M, ∇, P) where ∇ denotes the linear connection associated with the connection form ω . We claim that (M, ∇, P) is an

infinitesimally homogeneous manifold whose characteristic tensors are R_0 and $\mathfrak{I}_0$. In fact, given $x \in M$ and $X \in T_x M$, we have:

$$\bar{\omega}_x(X) = \bar{\lambda}_x^L(X) = \bar{\lambda}(x^{-1} \cdot X) = \underbrace{\bar{\text{ad}}_{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}(x^{-1} \cdot X)}}_{\in \mathfrak{h}} + \lambda(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(x^{-1} \cdot X)),$$

therefore, module $\mathfrak{h}$ the following equality holds:

$$\bar{\omega}_x(X) = \lambda(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(x^{-1} \cdot X)),$$

but clearly $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{m}}(x^{-1} \cdot X) = \bar{\kappa}_x(X) = s(x)^{-1} \cdot X$. Then we have:

$$\mathfrak{I}_x^P(X) = \bar{\text{Ad}}_{s(x)}(\mathfrak{q} \circ \lambda \circ s(x)^{-1} \cdot X) = \bar{\text{Ad}}_{s(x)}(\mathfrak{I}_0 \circ s(x)^{-1} \cdot X).$$

On the other hand, denoting by Ω the curvature form of ω , we set $\bar{\Omega} = s^* \Omega$. Given $x \in M$, $X, Y \in T_x M$. Setting $x^{-1} \cdot X = L + \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X$, $x^{-1} \cdot Y = T + \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y$, with $L, T \in \mathfrak{h}$, and using Lemma 4.5 we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\omega}_x([X, Y]) &= -\bar{\lambda}([L, T + \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y] + [\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X, T] + [\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X, \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y]) \\ &= -[\bar{\lambda}(L), \bar{\lambda}(T + \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y)] - [\bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X), \bar{\lambda}(T)] \\ &\quad - \bar{\lambda}[\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X, \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover:

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\omega}_x(X), \bar{\omega}_x(Y)] &= [\bar{\lambda}(L), \bar{\lambda}(T + \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y)] + [\bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X), \bar{\lambda}(T)] \\ &\quad + [\bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\bar{\Omega}_x(X, Y) = d\bar{\omega}_x(X, Y) + [\bar{\omega}_x(X), \bar{\omega}_x(Y)] = -\bar{\omega}_x([X, Y]) + [\bar{\omega}_x(X), \bar{\omega}_x(Y)],$$

follows from the above equalities that:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Omega}_x(X, Y) &= -\bar{\lambda}[\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X, \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y] + [\bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X), \bar{\lambda}(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y)] \\ &= R_0(\bar{\kappa}_x \cdot X, \bar{\kappa}_x \cdot Y), \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. Summarising we have proved the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a real finite-dimensional vector space, let $H \subset \text{GL}(\mathfrak{m})$ be a Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$. Given tensors $R_0 \in \text{Lin}_2^a(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}))$, $\mathfrak{I}_0 : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{h}$ characteristics with group H . There exists an infinitesimally homogeneous manifold (M, ∇, P) whose characteristic tensors are $R_0, \mathfrak{I}_0$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Piccione, D. Tausk *The theory of connections and G-structures: Applications to Affine and Isometric Immersion*, XIV Escola de Geometria Diferencial, IMPA 2006.
- [2] P. Piccione, D. Tausk, *An existence theorem for G-structure preserving affine immersions*, Indiana Math. J., vol. 57, n. 3 (2008), 1431—1465.
- [3] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vols. I,II New York, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (1963).
- [4] J. A. Wolf, *Spaces of constant curvature*, New York, Mac-Graw-Hill, 1967.
- [5] H. C. Wang, *On invariant connections over a principal fibre bundle*, Nagoya Math. J. 13 (1958) 1-19.

- [6] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Glenview, Scott, (1971).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL
E-mail address: cama@ime.usp.br